

FILED & ENTERED

AUG 14 2019

CLERK U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT
Central District of California
BY bakchell DEPUTY CLERK

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
LOS ANGELES DIVISION**

In re:

JOSEPH WEST,

Debtor.

Case No. 2:18-bk-20615-RK

Chapter 7

**ORDER DENYING DEBTOR'S MOTION TO
REOPEN CASE**

Date: August 13, 2019

Time: 2:00 p.m.

Courtroom: 1675

This bankruptcy case came on for hearing on August 13, 2019 before the undersigned United States Bankruptcy Judge on the motion of Debtor Joseph West ("Debtor") to reopen this Chapter 7 bankruptcy case. No appearance was made by Debtor, the moving party, or any other party.

On September 11, 2018, Debtor filed a voluntary petition for relief under Chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code, 11 U.S.C. Electronic Case Filing Number ("ECF") 1. Also, on September 11, 2018, the Clerk of Court issued a "no asset, no bar date" notice to creditors. ECF 6. On May 7, 2019, the Chapter 7 Trustee issued his report of no distribution, indicating that there were no nonexempt assets available for distribution from the estate. On June 24, 2019, the court entered its order of discharge

1 in favor of Debtor. ECF 54. On June 25, 2019, the Clerk of the Court closed the case.
2 ECF 55. On July 2, 2019, Debtor filed his Motion in a Chapter 7 or 13 Case (1) to
3 Reopen Case and (2) For Extension of Time to File Forms Required for Discharge (the
4 "Motion to Reopen"). ECF 57. The Motion to Reopen was filed on the court's mandatory
5 form intended for use by a debtor who was denied a discharge based on a failure to file
6 a certification about a postpetition financial management course, even though Debtor in
7 fact received a discharge. In the Motion to Reopen, Debtor seems to argue that his
8 case should be reopened so he can file the certification about the financial management
9 course and amend his bankruptcy schedules to include three omitted unsecured
10 creditors. After a no-asset Chapter 7 case has been closed, dischargeability is
11 unaffected by the scheduling of creditors. *In re Beezley*, 994 F.2d 1433, 1434 (9th Cir.
12 1993). Accordingly, because such a Chapter 7 debtor's request cannot result in any
13 relief, there is no basis to grant such a motion to reopen a case. *Id.*

14 Based on the foregoing, the court hereby denies the Motion to Reopen.

15 IT IS SO ORDERED.

16 ###

25 Date: August 14, 2019

24 

26 Robert Kwan
27 United States Bankruptcy Judge
28